



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/548,082 | 05/03/2006 | Hirokazu Koizumi | Q90091 | 7605 |
| 23373 | 7590 | 01/22/2010 | EXAMINER | |
| SUGHRUE MION, PLLC | | | SONG, DAEHO D | |
| 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. | | | | |
| SUITE 800 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | 2175 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/22/2010 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com
PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM
USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/548,082 | KOIZUMI ET AL. | |

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| DAEHO D. SONG | 2175 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: 71

(1) DAEHO D. SONG. (3) ____.
 (2) RYAN F. HEAVENER. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 11 December 2009

Time: 11AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 10, 11, 18 AND 19

Prior art documents discussed:

RUMREICH et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/William L. Bashore/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Faxed a Proposed Examiners' Amendment to Applicants for claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 18 and 19 to be allowable subject matter, clarifying amendments for further distinguishing the claimed invention from the cited reference; however, at the time of the interview, Applicants did not agree to the Proposed Examiner's Amendment, nor suggest any alternatives to reach an agreement. See attached Proposed Examiner's Amendment (PDF).